**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3167 OF 2004**
**IN**
**APPEAL (L) NO.627 OF 2004**
**IN**
**WRIT PETITION NO.6 OF 2001**

Maharahstra General Kamgar Union .. Applicant

V/s

M/s.Haldyn Glass Works Ltd. .. Respondent

---

Shri.N.M.Ganguli for the Applicant
Shri.V.P.Vaidya for the Respondent.

**CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &**

**S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 10TH MARCH, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned Counsel for the Respondent. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 67 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

**(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**

**(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)**